

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,568	12/07/2001	Chandra S. Ramanathan	D0077 NP	7187
23914	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN B. DAVIS			LI, BAO Q	
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY				DARRE NUR ARER
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1648	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/010,568 Examiner	RAMANATHAN ET AL.  Art Unit			
,	Bao Qun Li	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply		20000			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ib(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	riely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2003.					
	s action is non-final.				
<u> </u>		osecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) datent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/010,568 Page 2

Art Unit: 1648

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-42 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-10, 17, 26-32, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule, a vector and a host cell comprising a polynucleotide and fragment thereof, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
  - II. Claims 11-16, 17, 33, drawn to an isolated polypeptide, a fragment thereof, an antibody against the polypeptide and a host cell expresses the isolated polypeptide, as well as a method for expressing the same, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - III. Claim 18, drawn to a method of using a polynucleotide for diagnosis, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - IV. Claim 19, drawn to a method of using a polypeptide for diagnosis, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - V. Claim 20 and 23, drawn to a method for identifying a binding partner to a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.93.
  - VI. Claim 21, drawn to a gene corresponding to the cDNA of SEQ ID NO: 2, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
  - VII. claim 22, drawn to a method of identifying an activity in a biological assay, classified in class 435, subclass 7.71.
  - VIII. Claims 24-25, drawn to a method of making a polynucleotide sequence encoding a gene product having altered G-protein coupled receptor activity, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
  - IX. Claims 34-37, drawn to a method of screening for candidate compound capable of binding to and/or modulating activity of a G-protein coupled receptor by contacting the test compound with purified polypeptide of receptor, classified in class 435, subclass 7.8.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,568

Art Unit: 1648

X. Claims 38-40, drawn to a method for screening a candidate compound capable of modulating activity of a receptor polypeptide by contacting cells or tissue expressing the polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 40.51.

XI. Claims 41-42, drawn to a method of treating a disorder related to aberrant NF-κB activity, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.

## The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of groups I, II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of groups I and II are directed structurally different produces, e.g. the product of group I is a DNA molecule, whereas the product of group II is a protein.
- 3. Inventions of groups III-V and VI to XI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of groups III to IX are directed to functionally different methods, e.g. the method of group III is a method of using a polynucleotide for diagnosis, whereas the method of group XI is a method for treatment.
- 4. Inventions Group I and VIII are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of group I as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as DNA synthesis, rather than screening the shuffled DNA sequences.
- 5. Inventions of groups I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product of group I as claimed can be used in a materially

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/010,568

Art Unit: 1648

different process of using that product, such as expression of a fusion protein, rather than diagnosis.

Page 4

- 6. Inventions of groups II and IV, V, VII, IX to XI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process of Group IV for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product, such as a PCR, rather than a polypeptide.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group IX, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicants are reminded that the examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.
- 9. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above

Application/Control Number: 10/010,568

Art Unit: 1648

policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 11. Species I of isolated nucleic acid molecule (a) to (k) as listed in claim 1 or (a) to (e) of claim 26.
- 12. Species II of isolated polypeptide (a) to (i) as listed in claim 11 or (a) to (f) of claim 33

  Applicant is required under U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 2, 26 and 33 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Qun Li whose telephone number is 703-305-1695. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Bao Qun Li

CLOGUM

October 30, 2003